

Planning

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Draft Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendments to Waterways Zoning			
Proposal Summary	The Planning Proposal seeks to include a wider range of development in the waterways and includes:			
	- addition of uses 'mo Waterways zone - replacement of the f	oring per inal objec	tive under W1 Natural Wa	rways rmissible uses under the W1 Natural terways zone with a new objective d W2 Recreational Waterways zones.
PP Number :	PP_2013_SUTHE_004	_00	Dop File No :	13/07641
posal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received	12-Apr-2013		LGA covered :	Sutherland
Region :	Sydney Region East		RPA :	Sutherland Shire Council
State Electorate :	CRONULLA HEATHCOTE MENAI MIRANDA		Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping			
cation Details				
Street : C	ronulla Street			
Suburb : C	ronulla	City :	Sutherland	Postcode : 2230
Land Parcel :				(e)
oP Planning Of	ficer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Deewa Baral			
Contact Number :	9228645100			
Contact Email :	deewa.baral@planning	.nsw.gov	au	
PA Contact Deta	ails			
Contact Name :	Margaret Paige			
Contact Number :	9710016900			
Contact Email :	mpaige@ssc.nsw.gov.a	au		
oP Project Mana	ager Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				

Draft Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendments to Waterways Zoning

Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Southern Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Department is not aware of concerning this Planning Propo		ons with registered lobbyists
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The Planning Proposal relates t dwellings or jobs.	o waterways zoning and it doe	es not trigger any additional
	Council intend to incorporate th	ne outcome of this Planning Pr	oposal into the draft
	Sutherland Shire Local Environ 2013 concluded on 1May 2013. November 2013.	mental Plan (SSLEP). Public e	
	2013 concluded on 1May 2013.	mental Plan (SSLEP). Public e The due date for completing th n to make the plan and has su egation of Plan Making function this Planning Proposal, Counc	e draft SSLEP 2013 is 15 bmitted Attachment ons and a project timeline. If
	2013 concluded on 1May 2013. November 2013. Council currently has delegatio 4-Evaluation Criteria for the Del Council is given delegation for	mental Plan (SSLEP). Public e The due date for completing th n to make the plan and has su egation of Plan Making function this Planning Proposal, Counce t SSLEP 2013 is notified. I by Council is to incorporate the ibition change. However, the P ges that may affect development aft SSLEP. On this basis, if the exhibition change, the draft S	he draft SSLEP 2013 is 15 bmitted Attachment ons and a project timeline. If ill should be aware that it the Planning Proposal into the Planning Proposal involves ent entitlements which were Planning Proposal is SLEP may need to be
	2013 concluded on 1May 2013. November 2013. Council currently has delegatio 4-Evaluation Criteria for the Del Council is given delegation for cannot be made before the draf An alternative option requested draft SSLEP 2013 as a post exh significant post exhibition chan possible under the exhibited dra implemented by way of a post -	mental Plan (SSLEP). Public e The due date for completing th n to make the plan and has su egation of Plan Making function this Planning Proposal, Counce t SSLEP 2013 is notified. I by Council is to incorporate th ibition change. However, the P ges that may affect development aft SSLEP. On this basis, if the exhibition change, the draft S I challenge as to the validity of of action would be to implement	the draft SSLEP 2013 is 15 bmitted Attachment ons and a project timeline. If fill should be aware that it the Planning Proposal involves ent entitlements which were the Planning Proposal is SLEP may need to be the LEP. ent Council's proposed

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Comment : The statement of objectives is considered to be adequate. The planning proposal seeks to include a wider range of development in the waterways zones including: - rezoning of waterways (Gunnamatta Bay, Burraneer Bay, Dolans Bay, Yowie Bay and a section of the Georges River) from W1 Natural Waterways to W2 Recreational Waterways; - addition of uses 'mooring pens' and 'boatsheds' as permissible under the W1 Natural Waterways zone; - replacement of the final objective under W1 Natural Waterways zone with a new objective - addition of new objectives to W1 Natural Waterways and W2 Recreational Waterways zone Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : The proposal seeks to make the following amendments: 1. Amend zoning maps to include Gunnamatta Bay, Burraneer Bay, Dolans Bay, Yowie Bay and a section of the Georges River (from Tom Ugly's Bridge to the north-western point of Kangaroo Point) under W2 Recreational Waterways zone. 2. Amend the Land Use Table for W1 Natural Waterways as follows: 2a) Replace the final objective with the following: - To allow permitted water based structures where appropriate having regard to: a) the cumulative impact of man-made structures and their concentration within a section of the waterway; b) the natural character and natural scenic quality of the waterway; or c) the established recreational use and functionality of the waterway; or d) the views of the waterway and land/waterway interface from the public and private domain: e) appropriate water based facilities to serve private properties. 2b) Add the following new objectives: - To allow suitable mooring facilities having regard to the established character of an area, recreational uses, the functionality of the waterways and the cumulative impact of man-made structures or the waterway. - To allow for multiple mooring pens to be attached to a water recreation structure in zone W1 Natural Waterways. 3. Amend the Land Use Table for W1 Natural Waterways to add uses 'mooring pens' and 'boatsheds' under permitted with consent heading. 4. Amend the Land Use Table for W2 Recreational Waterways to add the following new objective: - To allow suitable mooring facilities having regard to the established character of an area, recreational uses, the functionality of the waterways and the cumulative impact of man-made structures or the concentration of development with a section of the waterway.

ustification - s55 (2))(c)	
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the l	Director General? No
 b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : * May need the Director General's agreement 		 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Is the Director Generation	al's agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Stand	ard Instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have th	e RPA identified?	SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The planning prope or s117 Directions.	osal does not contravene any State Environmental Planning Policies
Have inconsistencies wit	th items a), b) and d) bei	ng adequately justified? N/A
If No, explain :		
apping Provided - s	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Ye	28	
Comment :	-	y the specific areas proposed to be rezoned from W1 Natural recreational Waterways have been provided.
community consulta	tion - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consulta	tion been proposed? Ye	S
requirements of th		hat the Planning Proposal be exhibited in accordance with the e Gateway Determination. Council should clearly explain in its that this Planning Proposal is amending the exhibited draft SSLEP
	George and Suther website. These arra required to consult	o give notice of the public exhibition in the local newspaper (The St land Shire Leader and The Liverpool City Leader) and on Council's ingements are considered satisfactory. In addition, Council is the Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries, as the outcome of have a considerable impact on the marine lives within the
	exhibited draft SSL	osal makes substantial changes to provisions in the recently EP 2013 and relates to waterways that covers a wider area within the a recommended to be exhibited for a period of 28 days.
dditional Director G	Seneral's requireme	nts
Are there any additional	Director General's requi	ements? No
If Yes, reasons :		
verall adequacy of	the proposal	
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Y	es

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : November 2013

Comments in relationThe principal LEP was on exhibition until 1 May 2013. Council intend to incorporate the
outcome of this Planning Proposal into the principal LEP.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning
proposal :1. The proposal seeks to rezone Gunnamatta Bay, Burraneer Bay, Dolans Bay, Yowie Bay
and a section of the Georges River (from Tom Ugly's Bridge to the north-western point of
Kangaroo Point) from W1 Natural Waterways to W2 Recreational Waterways zone as
Council intend to permit a wider range of development in the waterways and support the
reasonable expansion of existing marinas. The proposal seeks to retain the controls under
the existing SSLEP 2006, as marinas are permitted on the above mentioned waterways
currently and W1 Natural Waterways zone under the draft SSLEP 2013 prohibits marinas.
This rezoning would also remove part of Georges River, Gunnamatta Bay, Burraneer Bay,
Dolans Bay and Yowie Bay from Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses under the draft
SSLEP 2013, as the listed use is permissible under W2 Recreational Waterways zone. It is
considered that the proposal is in line with the current LEP and supports the Department's
position that an appropriate zone rather than Schedule 1 should be used where possible.

2. The proposal seeks to amend the Land Use Table for W1 Natural Waterways zone by adding new objectives that permit appropriate water based structures and allow suitable mooring facilities. This would encourage development in zone W1 Natural Waterways that is informed by locality and site specific characteristics and is supported.

3. The proposal also seeks to include uses - 'mooring pens' and 'boatsheds' as permissible under W1 Natural Waterways zone which are prohibited under the draft SSLEP 2013. The proposal addresses the current demand for 'mooring pens' and is supported.

4. The proposal seeks to amend the Land Use Table for the W2 Recreational Waterways zone by adding a new objective that allows suitable mooring facilities. This addresses the current demand for fixed moorings and is supported.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

The Planning Proposal is consistent with the objectives of the draft South Subregional Strategy as it protects environmental assets, improves the health of waterways and protects biodiversity.

The proposal is not contrary to Council's community plan 'Our Shire Our Future: Our Guide for Shaping the Shire to 2030' as the proposal will provide opportunities to deliver balanced development and diverse leisure opportunities.

Environmental social economic impacts :

The Planning Proposal aims to provide more opportunities for permanent boat moorings in the Shire's waterways. These permanent boat moorings can have adverse impact on the threatened seagrass species 'Posidonia australis' found around Port Hacking. In addition, there may be a similar impact on other seagrasses including 'Zostera' and 'Halophila' that might result in reduced food resources for marine life.

As boat moorings are only permissible with consent, the assessment of the likely impact of the development and the mandatory approval from the Department of Primary Industries -Fisheries would restrict those developments that will make considerable impact on the endangered species.

In addition, a permanent berthing area tends to provide a stable platform for boat maintenance which might result in increased release of pollutants into the aquatic environment and this would need to be considered at the development assessment stage.

			2013 - Amendments to V	The second second	
			THE REMAND OF THE MOU		
ssessment Process	5				8
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month		Delegation :		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. 🕽				
If Other, provide reasons	:				
Identify any internal cons		:			
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastru	cture relevant	to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
cuments					
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public
Waterways Planning Pr			Proposal		Yes
Attachment 4 _Evaluation Criteria for the delegation of plan making funtions.pdf Waterways PP Letter.pdf		elegation of	Proposal		Νο
			Proposal Coverir	ig Letter	Yes
nning Team Recomr	nendation				
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal support	ed at this stag	e : Recommended with Con	ditions	
S.117 directions:	2.1 Environment 2.2 Coastal Prote 2.3 Heritage Con 4.1 Acid Sulfate 4.3 Flood Prone 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati	ection servation Soils Land I Referral Req		036	
Additional Information :			anning Proposal proceed su		owing

Draft Sutherland Shire Loca	al Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendments to Waterways Zoning			
2. 3. aw 4.	 The Planning Proposal is exhibited for 28 days; and The Planning Proposal is completed in 9 months time. Council be given delegation for this Planning Proposal, but Council should be made aware that it cannot be made before the draft SSLEP 2013 is notified. Council should clearly explain in its exhibition material that this Planning Proposal is seeking to amend the controls in the exhibited draft SSLEP 2013. 			
1. 2. 3. ap wo	The RPA should be advised that: 1. Consultation with the Department of Primary Industries - Fisheries is required. 2. No further studies are required. 3. As the Planning Proposal is making a significant post exhibition change it may not be appropriate to incorporate these changes into the draft SSLEP 2013 before it is made. It would be appropriate for this Planning Proposal to be made as an Amendment to SSLEP 2013.			
Supporting Reasons : The Planning Proposal provides for a wider range of development in the waterways zones subject to locality and site specific characteristics. The proposed rezoning reinstates control for marinas under SSLEP 2006 and meet demands for suitable moorings in the Shire.				
Signature:	DPitney			
Printed Name:	110 PITNEY Date: 9/5/13			